ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Trial of Early Antiretrovirals and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in Africa

The TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 Study Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

In sub-Saharan Africa, the burden of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–associated tuberculosis is high. We conducted a trial with a 2-by-2 factorial design to assess the benefits of early antiretroviral therapy (ART), 6-month isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT), or both among HIV-infected adults with high CD4+ cell counts in Ivory Coast.

METHODS

We included participants who had HIV type 1 infection and a CD4+ count of less than 800 cells per cubic millimeter and who met no criteria for starting ART according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Participants were randomly assigned to one of four treatment groups: deferred ART (ART initiation according to WHO criteria), deferred ART plus IPT, early ART (immediate ART initiation), or early ART plus IPT. The primary end point was a composite of diseases included in the case definition of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), non–AIDS-defining cancer, non–AIDS-defining invasive bacterial disease, or death from any cause at 30 months. We used Cox proportional models to compare outcomes between the deferred-ART and early-ART strategies and between the IPT and no-IPT strategies.

RESULTS

A total of 2056 patients (41% with a baseline CD4+ count of \geq 500 cells per cubic millimeter) were followed for 4757 patient-years. A total of 204 primary end-point events were observed (3.8 events per 100 person-years; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.3 to 4.4), including 68 in patients with a baseline CD4+ count of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter (3.2 events per 100 person-years; 95% CI, 2.4 to 4.0). Tuberculosis and invasive bacterial diseases accounted for 42% and 27% of primary end-point events, respectively. The risk of death or severe HIV-related illness was lower with early ART than with deferred ART (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.76; adjusted hazard ratio among patients with a baseline CD4+ count of \geq 500 cells per cubic millimeter, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.33 to 0.94) and lower with IPT than with no IPT (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.88; adjusted hazard ratio among patients with a baseline CD4+ count of \geq 500 cells per cubic millimeter, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.36 to 1.01). The 30-month probability of grade 3 or 4 adverse events did not differ significantly among the strategies.

CONCLUSIONS

In this African country, immediate ART and 6 months of IPT independently led to lower rates of severe illness than did deferred ART and no IPT, both overall and among patients with CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter. (Funded by the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis; TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00495651.)

The members of the writing group, who are listed in the Appendix, assume responsibility for the content and integrity of this article. Address reprint requests to Dr. Anglaret at INSERM Unité 897, Université de Bordeaux, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux, France, or at xavier .anglaret@isped.u-bordeaux2.fr.

*A list of additional members of the TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 Study Group is provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NEJM.org.

This article was published on July 20, 2015, at NEJM.org.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1507198 Copyright © 2015 Massachusetts Medical Society.

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

THE RECOMMENDED CD4+ COUNT threshold for starting antiretroviral therapy (ART) in asymptomatic human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–infected adults in lower-resource countries was increased from 200 cells per cubic millimeter in 2006 to 500 cells per cubic millimeter in 2013.^{1,2} This change was supported by the results of two randomized, controlled trials.^{3,4}

Meanwhile, three types of arguments have emerged to support even earlier initiation of ART. First, there is increasing documentation of inflammation in people with uncontrolled viral replication and of non-acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)-defining noninfectious diseases as causes of death in HIV-infected persons^{5,6} (with AIDS-defining diseases identified as diseases included in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention case definition of AIDS⁷). Second, among patients living with HIV in lower-resource countries, the rates of tuberculosis and bacterial diseases are high. These infectious diseases are both common and opportunistic, meaning that their incidence is high in the general population, higher among HIV-infected patients with high CD4+ cell counts, and extremely high among patients with low CD4+ cell counts.^{8,9} They have consistently been shown to be major causes of death among HIV-infected patients in settings where access to diagnosis and treatment is low.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ These diseases triggered the question "Should we start ART earlier?" in low-income countries.¹⁷

Third, ART has been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of HIV transmission.³ This observation led to the hypothesis that recommending all HIV-infected persons to start ART irrespective of their CD4+ count might help curb the epidemic.¹⁸ This public health argument, however, is acceptable only if earlier ART leads to a favorable benefit–risk ratio at the individual level.

Here we present the results of a trial that assessed the efficacy of early ART in reducing the rate of severe illness among HIV-infected adults in Ivory Coast. Because tuberculosis was expected to be an important target for early ART in this African country, the benefit of combining isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) with early ART was assessed in the same trial, with the use of a factorial design. In Ivory Coast, the national guidelines do not recommend the use of IPT, owing to the concern that IPT may select resistant bacilli when given to patients with undiagnosed tuberculosis.^{19,20} The Ministry of Health accepted its use within an experimental framework.

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN AND OVERSIGHT

The TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 trial was an unblinded, multicenter, individual-randomized, controlled, 2-by-2 factorial, 1:1 superiority trial that was conducted at nine care centers in Abidjan, the economic capital of Ivory Coast. A description of the full study design is provided in the protocol and statistical analysis plan, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

The protocol was approved by the Ivory Coast National Ethics Committee for Health Research. The sponsor (the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis [ANRS]) had no role in the conduct of the study or the interpretation of the data.

PARTICIPANTS

Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if they were 18 years of age or older, had HIV type 1 (HIV-1) infection or dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2, had a CD4+ count of less than 800 cells per cubic millimeter, provided written informed consent, and met no criteria for starting ART according to the most recent World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. The latter included the absence of active tuberculosis, as determined with the use of a clinical algorithm.^{21,22} No chest radiography was systematically performed before inclusion. The exclusion criteria are listed in Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NEJM.org.

RANDOMIZATION TO TRIAL GROUPS AND STRATEGIES

A computer-generated, sequentially numbered, block randomization list, stratified according to study clinic, was drawn up and then included in a software tool that allowed access to the next available trial identification number and treatment group. Participants were randomly assigned to one of four groups: group 1 (deferred ART), in which ART was deferred until WHO criteria for starting ART were met; group 2 (deferred ART plus IPT), in which ART was deferred

, ,

until WHO criteria for starting ART were met and a 6-month course of IPT was started 1 month after enrollment; group 3 (early ART), in which ART was started immediately; and group 4 (early ART plus IPT), in which ART was started immediately and a 6-month course of IPT was started 1 month after enrollment. We will refer to trial groups each time we show separate data for each of the four groups and refer to trial strategies whenever we show data combining patients assigned to early ART (groups 3 and 4), deferred ART (groups 1 and 2), IPT (groups 2 and 4), or no IPT (groups 1 and 3).

BASELINE TESTS

After the participants underwent randomization, plasma HIV-1 RNA was measured in all participants by means of a real-time polymerase-chainreaction assay (Generic HIV Charge Virale, Biocentric; threshold of detectability, 100 copies per milliliter), and systematic chest radiography was performed. The first 967 patients who underwent randomization also underwent an interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) for tuberculosis (QuantiFERON-TB Gold test, Celestis). Other tests are listed in Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix. All trial tests were performed in one reference laboratory. Tuberculin skin tests were not performed.

TRIAL DRUGS

The first-line ART regimen consisted preferably of tenofovir–emtricitabine plus efavirenz. Patients with contraindications to efavirenz received tenofovir–emtricitabine plus lopinavir– ritonavir, or tenofovir–emtricitabine plus zidovudine. The latter regimen was abandoned in December 2008 owing to increased side effects in the upper digestive tract.²³

IPT consisted of 300 mg of isoniazid daily, which was started 1 month after enrollment and stopped 7 months after enrollment. All the patients who were randomly assigned to groups 2 and 4 were eligible for IPT irrespective of the results on IGRA for tuberculosis. However, patients who had images suggestive of active tuberculosis on their baseline chest radiograph, those who had aminotransferase levels greater than 2.5 times the upper limit of the normal range, and those in whom clinical signs suggestive of tuberculosis developed during the first month after enrollment were not prescribed IPT.

Trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis was prescribed in all patients who had a CD4+ count of less than 500 cells per cubic millimeter and no history of serious side effects from the drug. It was stopped when the CD4+ count rose above 500 cells per cubic millimeter.

Merck Sharp & Dohme donated Stocrin (efavirenz) and Gilead Sciences donated Truvada (tenofovir–emtricitabine) for all participants in the study; these companies had no other role in the study. Nouvelle Pharmacie de Santé Publique of Ivory Coast provided all other antiretroviral drugs, with support from the U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief.

EVOLUTION OF TRIAL PROCEDURES

The trial started in March 2008 and ended in January 2015. During this time period, the WHO guidelines for ART changed twice.^{1,2} The inclusion criterion of "no WHO criteria for starting ART" and the criteria for starting ART in patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy were thus updated over time in line with WHO guideline updates (Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix).

END POINTS

Participants were followed for 30 months. Visits were scheduled monthly for 3 months and quarterly thereafter. CD4+ counts and plasma HIV-1 RNA levels were measured every 6 months. Clinical events were reviewed by an event-documentation committee whose members were aware of the randomization assignments; events were classified as definite, probable, or possible according to standardized criteria (Sections 3 and 4 in the Supplementary Appendix).

The primary end point was a composite of death from any cause, AIDS-defining disease, non–AIDS-defining cancer, or non–AIDS-defining invasive bacterial disease (Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix). The main secondary end point was grade 3 or 4 illness, including all events with a grade of 3 or 4 according to the ANRS grading table (Section 5 in the Supplementary Appendix). Other secondary end points were virologic suppression, which was assessed as the percentage of patients with an undetectable viral load, and adherence to treatment, as assessed by the medication possession ratio (the number of daily doses of antiretroviral drugs dispensed by the pharmacy to each patient, di-

The New England Journal of Medicine

vided by that patient's total follow-up time in days since the initiation of ART).²⁴ Participants were considered to have completed IPT if they attended all six isoniazid prescription visits.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We calculated that with 2076 participants enrolled, the study would have 80% power to detect a 40% lower rate of the primary end point with a new strategy (early ART or IPT) than with the corresponding reference strategy (deferred ART or no IPT), assuming a 10% rate of the primary end point in the reference strategy and with correction factors to account for a 4.2% loss to follow-up (on the basis of previous studies) and for testing for interactions (Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix). Main analyses were performed on an intention-to-treat basis.

For each trial group and strategy, we used the Kaplan–Meier method to estimate the cumulative probability of the occurrence of an event and estimated rates by dividing the number of first events by the cumulative time at risk. Then, considering all groups together, we estimated CD4+-specific rates in the entire trial population by dividing the number of first events that occurred during the time that patients had CD4+ counts within a given stratum by the cumulative time at risk spent in the stratum. Follow-up data were censored when participants were lost to follow-up before their 30-month visit (Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix).

Multivariate Cox proportional-hazards models were used to compare strategies with respect to event rates for the primary end point and its components and for the main secondary end point. Models systematically included three explanatory variables: IPT status (yes vs. no), ART status (early vs. deferred), and trial center. Hazard ratios were thus adjusted for the other strategy and the trial center. Interaction between strategies was tested. The assumption of the proportional hazards was examined.

Prespecified sensitivity analyses were performed to explore the influence of baseline CD4+ count and the robustness of the results with varying definitions of end points (Section 2 in the Supplementary Appendix). All reported P values were two-sided and have not been adjusted for multiple testing. Statistical analyses were performed with the use of SAS software, version 9.3 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

BASELINE AND FOLLOW-UP CHARACTERISTICS

Between March 18, 2008, and July 16, 2012, a total of 2076 patients were randomly assigned to treatment groups; 20 (1%) were subsequently excluded and 2056 (99%) were included in the analyses (Fig. 1). Participants assigned to the deferred-ART strategy were followed for 2382 person-years, and those assigned to the early-ART strategy were followed for 2375 person-years (Table 1). The rate of attendance at scheduled visits was 93% at 3 months and 86% at 30 months (Table S1 in Section 6 in the Supplementary Appendix). At study termination, 47 patients (2%) were known to have died, and 58 (3%) were considered to have been lost to follow-up, with no significant differences among the strategies.

TRIAL INTERVENTIONS

Among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy, the 30-month probability of starting ART was 63% (Fig. S1 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). Among the 1033 patients assigned to the early-ART strategy, 911 had a viral-load measurement after 12 months, of whom 84% had an undetectable viral load, and 872 had a viral-load measurement after 24 months, of whom 83% had an undetectable viral load. Among the 391 patients assigned to deferred ART who started ART more than 12 months before the 30-month visit, 331 had a viral-load measurement after 12 months of ART. of whom 80% had an undetectable viral load. Among the 70 patients assigned to deferred ART who started ART more than 24 months before the 30-month visit, 63 had a viral-load measurement after 12 months of ART, of whom 81% had an undetectable viral load. Of the 1030 patients assigned to the IPT strategy, 927 (90%) actually started isoniazid, of whom 94% completed the 6-month treatment period (Table 1).

EVOLUTION OF CD4+ COUNTS

Among patients assigned to the early-ART strategy, the mean CD4+ count increased from 481 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline to 728 cells per cubic millimeter at 30 months. Among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy, the mean CD4+ count decreased from 472 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline to 428 cells per cubic millimeter at 12 months and then increased

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.



Figure 1. Randomization, Study Treatments, and Follow-up.

Clinical indication to start antiretroviral therapy (ART) was irrespective of the CD4+ count. Of the 20 patients who underwent randomization but were excluded from analysis, 15 were infected only with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 2 (HIV-2) on HIV tests performed at inclusion (these patients were previously thought to have had dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2 on the basis of tests performed locally), 4 were HIV-seronegative on tests performed at inclusion (these patients were previously found to be HIV-positive locally and were followed in HIV clinics for 76, 95, 148, and 306 days, respectively, before inclusion), and 1 had a history of combined ART that was discovered after inclusion. IPT denotes isoniazid preventive therapy.

to 511 cells per cubic millimeter at 30 months (Fig. S2, S3, and S4 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). The evolution of the CD4+ count did not differ significantly between patients assigned to IPT and those assigned to no IPT. On average, patients had CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter during 51% of their follow-up time (Fig. S5 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix).

PRIMARY END POINT AND ITS COMPONENTS

During follow-up, 204 primary end-point events were recorded in 175 patients. With all groups considered together, the overall rate of the primary end point was 3.8 events per 100 personyears (95% confidence interval [CI], 3.3 to 4.4), and the CD4+-specific rates of the primary end point for the time during which patients had CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic mil-

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

| Table 1. Baseline and Follow-up Characteristics.* | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Characteristic | Group 1: Deferred ART (N=511) | Group 2: Deferred ART plus IPT (N=512) | Group 3: Early ART (N = 515) | Group 4: Early ART plus IPT (N=518) |
| Baseline | | | | |
| Female sex — no. (%) | 400 (78) | 391 (76) | 407 (79) | 416 (80) |
| Age — yr | | | | |
| Median | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Interquartile range | 29–41 | 30–42 | 30–42 | 29–42 |
| Educational level — no. (%) | | | | |
| Primary school or less | 275 (54) | 270 (53) | 282 (55) | 287 (55) |
| Secondary school or more | 236 (46) | 242 (47) | 233 (45) | 231 (45) |
| WHO clinical stage of HIV infection — no. (%) | | | | |
| 1 | 340 (67) | 326 (64) | 333 (65) | 322 (62) |
| 2 | 126 (25) | 134 (26) | 132 (26) | 145 (28) |
| ≥3 | 45 (9) | 52 (10) | 50 (10) | 51 (10) |
| CD4+ count — cells/mm ³ | | | | |
| Median | 460 | 459 | 467 | 466 |
| Interquartile range | 359–560 | 364–575 | 369–584 | 394–572 |
| CD4+ count stratum — no. (%) | | | | |
| ≥500 cells/mm ³ | 201 (39) | 212 (41) | 222 (43) | 214 (41) |
| 350–499 cells/mm ³ | 189 (37) | 193 (38) | 188 (37) | 211 (41) |
| <350 cells/mm ³ | 121 (24) | 107 (21) | 105 (20) | 93 (18) |
| Plasma HIV-1 RNA — log ₁₀ copies/ml | | | | |
| Median | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Interquartile range | 4.0-5.2 | 4.0-5.3 | 4.0-5.3 | 4.0–5.3 |
| Dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2 — no. (%) | 10 (2) | 12 (2) | 15 (3) | 14 (3) |
| Creatinine clearance <50 ml/min — no. (%) | 6 (1) | 9 (2) | 4 (1) | 9 (2) |
| Plasma alanine aminotransferase >2.5 ×ULN — no. (%) | 1 (<0.5) | 4 (1) | 3 (1) | 2 (<0.5) |
| Hemoglobin <95 g/liter — no. (%) | 52 (10) | 48 (9) | 64 (12) | 54 (10) |
| Positive test for hepatitis B surface antigen — no. (%) | 42 (8) | 48 (9) | 49 (10) | 54 (10) |
| Positive IGRA for tuberculosis — no./total no. (%)† | 89/244 (36) | 88/241 (37) | 84/241 (35) | 76/241 (32) |
| Follow-up | | | | |
| Duration of follow-up — mo | | | | |
| Median | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 |
| Interquartile range | 29.9–30.0 | 29.9–30.0 | 29.9–30.0 | 29.9–30.0 |
| Lost to follow-up — no. (%) | 17 (3) | 10 (2) | 18 (3) | 13 (3) |
| Total duration of follow-up — patient-yr | 1168 | 1213 | 1177 | 1199 |
| Ever started trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole — no. (%) | 467 (91) | 476 (93) | 451 (88) | 455 (88) |
| Ever started ART — no. (%) | 293 (57) | 304 (59) | 515 (100) | 518 (100) |
| First-line ART regimen — no./total no. (%) | | | | |
| TDF–FTC plus EFV | 205/293 (70) | 216/304 (71) | 362/515 (70) | 354/518 (68) |
| TDF–FTC plus LPV/r‡ | 70/293 (24) | 68/304 (22) | 115/515 (22) | 124/518 (24) |
| Other∬ | 18/293 (6) | 20/304 (7) | 38/515 (7) | 40/518 (8) |

N ENGLJ MED NEJM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

| Table 1. (Continued.) | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Characteristic | Group 1: Deferred ART (N=511) | Group 2: Deferred ART plus IPT (N=512) | Group 3: Early ART (N = 515) | Group 4: Early ART plus IPT (N=518) |
| Ever started IPT — no. (%)¶ | 0 | 461 (90) | 0 | 466 (90) |
| Completed 6-mo course of IPT — no./total no. (%) | | 431/461 (93) | | 437/466 (94) |

* None of the baseline characteristics differed significantly among the groups. ART denotes antiretroviral therapy, EFV efavirenz, FTC emtricitabine, HIV-1 human immunodeficiency virus type 1, IPT isoniazid preventive therapy, LPV/r lopinavir/ritonavir, TDF tenofovir, ULN upper limit of the normal range, and WHO World Health Organization.

† The first 967 enrolled patients underwent an interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) for tuberculosis (QuantiFERON-TB Gold test, Celestis).

Among the 377 patients who started ART with TDF-FTC plus LPV/r, the reason for not receiving an EFV-based regimen was dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV type 2 (15 patients), a history of prevention of mother-to-child transmission with nevirapine (38), declining to use effective contraception (308), and other reasons (16).

S Other regimens were TDF-FTC-zidovudine (ZDV) (81 patients), ZDV-lamivudine (3TC)-LPV/r (25), ZDV-3TC-EFV (3), ZDV-3TC-nevirapine (3), didanosine-3TC-EFV (1), stavudine (D4T)-3TC-EFV (1), D4T-3TC-LPV/r (1), and 3TC-abacavir-LPV/r (1).

¶ The reasons for not starting IPT at 1 month were the presence of signs suggestive of tuberculosis on the chest radiograph obtained at baseline (16 patients), the presence of clinical signs suggestive of tuberculosis at the 1-month visit (47), nonattendance at the 1-month visit (24), elevated aminotransferase levels at baseline (6), pregnancy (4), death before 1 month (1), and other reasons (5).

The reasons for stopping IPT prematurely were death from an unknown cause (1 patient), discontinuation by patients for personal, nonmedical reasons (22), discontinuation by physicians because of the presence in the patient of signs or symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis (10, of whom 3 were confirmed to have tuberculosis), pregnancy (13), and the following 12 adverse events: elevated aminotransferase levels (two grade 2 events, two grade 3 events, and two grade 4 events), psychiatric side effects (two grade 2 events and two grade 3 events), and pruritus (two grade 2 events). None of the episodes of elevated aminotransferase levels led to death.

limeter, between 350 and 499 cells per cubic millimeter, and less than 350 cells per cubic millimeter were 2.8 events per 100 person-years (95% CI, 2.1 to 3.5), 4.1 events per 100 person-years (95% CI, 3.0 to 5.1), and 6.8 events per 100 person-years (95% CI, 5.0 to 8.6), respectively (Fig. S6 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix).

The mean (±SD) CD4+ count at the onset of a first primary end-point event was 414±162 cells per cubic millimeter among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy and 547±239 cells per cubic millimeter among patients assigned to the early-ART strategy. The 30-month probability of a primary end-point event was 11.4% among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy, 6.6% among patients assigned to the early-ART strategy, 10.7% among patients assigned to the no-IPT strategy, and 7.2% among patients assigned to the IPT strategy (Fig. S7 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). Probabilities according to treatment group are shown in Figure 2. The hazard ratio for a primary end-point event was 0.56 (95% CI, 0.41 to 0.76) with early ART as compared with deferred ART (Fig. 3) and 0.65 (95% CI, 0.48 to 0.88) with IPT as compared with no IPT (Fig. 4). There was no significant interaction among the strategies (P=0.45). These findings were robust to adjustment for baseline CD4+ count, the exclusion of patients enrolled before December 2009, the censoring of followup data at the time a first CD4+ count of less than 350 cells per cubic millimeter was measured, consideration of only events classified as definite, consideration of each end-point component separately, and stratification according to baseline CD4+ count, as well as in an analysis in which patients with missing data were categorized as having treatment failure and a per-protocol analysis (Fig. 3 and 4, and Table S6 in Section 6 in the Supplementary Appendix).

The primary end-point component that occurred most frequently was tuberculosis (42%), followed by invasive bacterial diseases (27%), death from any cause (23%), AIDS-defining or non-AIDS-defining cancers (4%), and other AIDS-defining diseases (3%) Table 2). Drug-sensitivity testing was performed in 40 of the 41 patients with culture-confirmed tuberculosis: 4 had multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (1 had been assigned to the IPT strategy, and 3 had been assigned to the no-IPT strategy), 5 had isoniazid monoresistance (3 had been assigned to the IPT strategy, and 2 had been assigned to the no-IPT strategy), and 5 had another resistance profile (1 had been assigned to the IPT strategy, and 4 had been assigned to the no-IPT strategy). Of the 967 patients who had a serum test for tuberculosis, 597

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE



8

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Figure 2 (facing page). Kaplan–Meier Curves of Probability of the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point.

The primary end point was a composite of death from any cause, AIDS-defining disease, non–AIDS-defining cancer, or non–AIDS-defining invasive bacterial disease. The main secondary end point was events of grade 3 or 4 according to the grading table for severe adverse events of the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis. I bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

had a negative test, 337 had a positive test, and 33 had an indeterminate test result. Of the 597 patients with a negative test, 16 went on to have tuberculosis. Of the 337 patients with a positive test, 26 went on to have tuberculosis. Among patients with a positive test, those who were assigned to IPT had significantly fewer tuberculosis events than those assigned to no IPT (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.19 to 0.99). Among patients with a negative test, those who were assigned to IPT also had fewer tuberculosis events than those assigned to no IPT, but the difference was not significant (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.21 to 1.61).

GRADE 3 OR 4 ADVERSE EVENTS

During follow-up, 165 grade 3 or 4 events were recorded in 144 patients (Table 2). The cumulative probability of a grade 3 or 4 event over a 30-month period was 7.7% among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy, 7.1% among patients assigned to the early-ART strategy, 8.2% among patients assigned to the no-IPT strategy, and 6.6% among patients assigned to the IPT strategy (Fig. S7 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). The risk of grade 3 or 4 events was 2.6 times as high among patients assigned to early ART as among patients assigned to deferred ART during the first 6 months and 2.1 times as low among patients assigned to early ART as among patients assigned to deferred ART thereafter. The risk of grade 3 or 4 events did not differ significantly between patients assigned to IPT and those assigned to no IPT (Fig. 4).

PARTICIPANTS WITH A BASELINE CD4+ COUNT OF AT LEAST 500 CELLS PER CUBIC MILLIMETER

A total of 849 patients had a CD4+ count of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline.

Among patients with these baseline CD4+ counts who were assigned to the deferred-ART strategy, the cumulative 30-month probability of starting ART was 41% (Fig. S1 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix); the mean CD4+ count decreased from 617 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline to 533 cells per cubic millimeter at 6 months and then remained stable. Among patients with baseline CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter who were assigned to the early-ART strategy, the mean CD4+ count increased from 617 cells per cubic millimeter at baseline to 810 cells per cubic millimeter at 30 months (Fig. S4A in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). On average, patients had CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter during 77% of their follow-up time (Fig. S5 in Section 7 in the Supplementary Appendix). During follow-up, 68 primary endpoint events were recorded in 61 patients (3.2 events per 100 person-years; 95% CI, 2.4 to 4.0). The mean CD4+ count at the onset of a first primary end-point event was 542±144 cells per cubic millimeter among patients assigned to deferred ART and 702±287 cells per cubic millimeter among patients assigned to early ART. The hazard ratio for a primary end-point event was 0.56 (95% CI, 0.33 to 0.94) with early ART versus deferred ART (Fig. 3) and 0.61 (95% CI, 0.36 to 1.01) with IPT versus no IPT (P=0.78 for interaction) (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we recruited 2056 HIV-infected adults who were not eligible to start ART at the time of enrollment according to the current WHO guidelines, in a West African country in which IPT is not recommended. Participants had baseline CD4+ counts evenly distributed on both sides of the CD4+ threshold of 500 cells per cubic millimeter. The early initiation of ART and 6 months of IPT independently resulted in a risk of severe HIV-related illness that was 44% lower and a risk of death from any cause that was 35% lower than the risks with deferred initiation of ART and no IPT. The results were robust across alternate end-point definitions and CD4+ count strata. They were driven mainly by the efficacy of both interventions in preventing tuberculosis; the efficacy of ART in reducing invasive bacterial diseases also played a role.

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

| model prime model prime <thmodel prime <thmodel prime</thmodel </thmodel | $\frac{1}{10000} = \frac{1}{100000} + \frac{1}{1000000} + \frac{1}{10000000} + \frac{1}{100000000} + \frac{1}{100000000} + \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | All methods model formation model formatio | Subgroup | (gr | Early ART oups 3 and 4 | ÷ | Del (grou | ferred ART Ips 1 and 2] | _ | Adjusted F | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| All pairs determined in the sector of the se | Million revene in the data many origonal mass of the data many origonal mass or the data many origenal many origenal mass or the data many origonal mass or the dat | All parties All parties All parties All parties All parties Color of a color of color of a | | no. of patients | person-yr | rate | no. of patients | person-yr | rate | | | |
| Definition 220 323 210 220 323 210 320 321 | Definition 22 23 24 233 21 65 233 21 65 233 23 | multiply concrete 1 220 03 231 12 230 12 230 130 231 131 231 <t< td=""><td>All patients Death or severe HIV-related illness</td><td>64</td><td>2313</td><td>2.8</td><td>111</td><td>2248</td><td>4.9</td><td>ļ</td><td></td><td>0.56 (0.41–0.76)</td></t<> | All patients Death or severe HIV-related illness | 64 | 2313 | 2.8 | 111 | 2248 | 4.9 | ļ | | 0.56 (0.41–0.76) |
| Destine of ALDS 53 21 64 2288 37 05 053 033 | Desite of AIDS So 233 21 St 238 37 14 Color Color <td>Affer Solution <t< td=""><td>(primary outcorne) Death</td><td>21</td><td>2520</td><td>0.8</td><td>26</td><td>2502</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.80 (0.45-1.40)</td></t<></td> | Affer Solution Solution <t< td=""><td>(primary outcorne) Death</td><td>21</td><td>2520</td><td>0.8</td><td>26</td><td>2502</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.80 (0.45-1.40)</td></t<> | (primary outcorne) Death | 21 | 2520 | 0.8 | 26 | 2502 | 1.0 | | | 0.80 (0.45-1.40) |
| AIDS Display and the resonance of | ADD ADD <td>Tuber control 33 33 13 53 238 24 60 633-73 60 60 60 <t< td=""><td>Death or AIDS</td><td>50</td><td>2333</td><td>2.1</td><td>84</td><td>2288</td><td>3.7</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>0.58 (0.41–0.83)</td></t<></td> | Tuber control 33 33 13 53 238 24 60 633-73 60 60 60 <t< td=""><td>Death or AIDS</td><td>50</td><td>2333</td><td>2.1</td><td>84</td><td>2288</td><td>3.7</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>0.58 (0.41–0.83)</td></t<> | Death or AIDS | 50 | 2333 | 2.1 | 84 | 2288 | 3.7 | • | | 0.58 (0.41–0.83) |
| Tuberclois 23 213 12 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 13 233 | Intercloid 28 213 12 52 233 13 | Tube 1 | AIDS | 33 | 2333 | 1.4 | 65 | 2288 | 2.8 | • | | 0.50 (0.33-0.76) |
| Image bacterial dresses 14 238 0.6 36 232 15 15 15 13 0.39 0.21-0.71 Other pack of a charge enertitie (main secondary outcome) 27 135 3.3 0.48<(0.01-0.01) | $ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Invariants} & 14 & 238 & 06 & 36 & 232 & 13 & & 03 (021-07) \\ \mbox{Invariant} & \mbox{Invariants} & 14 & 238 & 06 & 36 & 232 & 13 & & 03 (021-07) \\ \mbox{Invariant} & Invar$ | Investment 14 238 0.6 3.6 3.32 1.5 0.9 0.02.1-0.71 61 work practication accorrent (numerication set on the medination 2 11/3 1.5 5 1.9 0.3 | Tuberculosis | 28 | 2337 | 1.2 | 55 | 2298 | 2.4 | • | | 0.50 (0.32–0.79) |
| Other grade of advect event (main secondy outcome) 1 20 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 1/1/1 2 2 1/1/1 2 2 2 1/1/1 2 2 2 1/1/1 2 <th2< th=""> 2 2</th2<> | Other grade 3 or differ motionization 23 71 23 23 71 23 71 23 71 23 71 73 | Other grade is a constrained State is a constrained in the individual of constrained in the indintenex constrained in constrained in the indintenex con | Invasive bacterial diseases | 14 | 2358 | 0.6 | 36 | 2332 | 1.5 | P | | 0.39 (0.21-0.71) |
| 6 m after randomization 43 88 17 500 34 27 (1,4,4,1) 6-5 m after randomization 43 57 173 57 173 27 27 (1,4,4,1) 6-5 m after randomization 23 57 173 27 27 (1,4,4,1) 247 29 27 24 29 24 26 24 38 29 41 24 26 < | 6-50 mo after andomization 43 849 83 17 500 34 | 6 from after randomization 2 in 3 is 1 in 3 is 2 in 3 in 2 in 2 in 2 in 2 in 2 in 2 in | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6-30 mo after randomization 27 175 15 7 178 32 048 (03-0.05) Patter sevent Mixadem 2 17 3 66 24 38 91 41 058 (03-0.05) Patter sevent Mixadem 3 66 24 38 91 41 058 (03-0.05) Opento sectorem 1 972 14 23 32 058 (03-0.05) Other match on AIDS 14 972 14 24 30 25 058 (03-0.05) Insercutors 12 23 23 038 054 (0.2-0.18) Insercutors 12 23 23 23 038 (03-0.05) Insercutors 13 12 23 23 038 (03-0.05) Insercutors 13 13 30 23 038 (03-0.05) Insercutors 13 13 30 23 23 038 (03-0.05) Insercutors 13 <td< td=""><td>6 - 30 modimization 27 175 15 57 179 32 </td><td>6-30 on after randomization 22 173 12 173 32 </td><td><6 mo after randomization</td><td>43</td><td>489</td><td>8.8</td><td>17</td><td>500</td><td>3.4</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>2.57 (1.47-4.51)</td></td<> | 6 - 30 modimization 27 175 15 57 179 32 | 6-30 on after randomization 22 173 12 173 32 | <6 mo after randomization | 43 | 489 | 8.8 | 17 | 500 | 3.4 | | - | 2.57 (1.47-4.51) |
| Partner stretts with baseline CD4+ count =500/mm ³ Partner excert NV: related illness 23 913 4.1 Partner strett NV: related illness 056 (033-09) Death or screter NV: related illness 13 912 12 32 32 32 33 </td <td>Patients with baseline CO4- count > 300 /mm³ Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 056 (013) -039 Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 13 912 14 056 (013) -039 Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 13 922 14 056 (013) -039 Death or AIDS 13 922 14 23 932 (013) -109 Alter or AIDS 13 922 14 24 23 932 (013) -109 Alter or AIDS 13 924 12 23 932 (013) -109 056 (013) -103 Infersion 13 72 20 25 23 4 24 23 23 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 20 25 34 056 (013) -103 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 20 727 34 056 (013) -103 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 23 14 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03</td> <td>Parties much baseline 23 56 24 33 51 4.1</td> <td>6-30 mo after randomization</td> <td>27</td> <td>1775</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>57</td> <td>1798</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>0.48 (0.30-0.76)</td> | Patients with baseline CO4- count > 300 /mm ³ Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 056 (013) -039 Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 13 912 14 056 (013) -039 Death or severe HV/reduct liferes 13 922 14 056 (013) -039 Death or AIDS 13 922 14 23 932 (013) -109 Alter or AIDS 13 922 14 24 23 932 (013) -109 Alter or AIDS 13 924 12 23 932 (013) -109 056 (013) -103 Infersion 13 72 20 25 23 4 24 23 23 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 20 25 34 056 (013) -103 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 20 727 34 056 (013) -103 056 (013) -103 Anotation of the randomization 13 72 23 14 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 056 (013) -03 | Parties much baseline 23 56 24 33 51 4.1 | 6-30 mo after randomization | 27 | 1775 | 1.5 | 57 | 1798 | 3.2 | • | | 0.48 (0.30-0.76) |
| Death or severe HV-related illness 24 38 913 1.1 0.56 (0.31-0.4) Death or xLISS 14 972 14 23 26 24 300 26 0.33-0.10 0.35 (0.33-0.10) Death or XLISS 14 972 14 22 300 26 0.00 0.35 (0.32-0.10) | Death or severe HV-related illness 23 919 4.1 <t< td=""><td>Death or scorer (W1 calard lines: 23 919 4.1 0.56 (0.33-0.94) p(may automa) 19 972 19 30 26 0.56 (0.33-1.06) 0.53 (0.32-0.18) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-</td><td>Patients with baseline CD4+ count ≥500</td><td>)/mm³</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Death or scorer (W1 calard lines: 23 919 4.1 0.56 (0.33-0.94) p(may automa) 19 972 19 30 26 0.56 (0.33-1.06) 0.53 (0.32-0.18) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.32-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22-0.61) 0.54 (0.22- | Patients with baseline CD4+ count ≥500 |)/mm ³ | | | | | | | | |
| Death or AIDS 19 972 14 23 | Desile or AIDS 19 972 19 30 32 26 96 053 03 | Desile or AIDS 19 972 19 972 19 972 19 972 19 972 19 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 105 973 974 105 973 974 105 973 974 105 973 974 105 973 974 97 | Death or severe HIV-related illness (primary outcome) | 23 | 966 | 2.4 | 38 | 919 | 4.1 | P | | 0.56 (0.33–0.94) |
| AIDS AIDS <th< td=""><td>AIDS Id 972 14 972 14 972 14 972 14 972 13 932 23 933 055</td><td>AIDS AIDS <th< td=""><td>Death or AIDS</td><td>19</td><td>972</td><td>1.9</td><td>30</td><td>930</td><td>3.2</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>0.59 (0.33–1.06)</td></th<></td></th<> | AIDS Id 972 14 972 14 972 14 972 14 972 13 932 23 933 055 | AIDS AIDS <th< td=""><td>Death or AIDS</td><td>19</td><td>972</td><td>1.9</td><td>30</td><td>930</td><td>3.2</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>0.59 (0.33–1.06)</td></th<> | Death or AIDS | 19 | 972 | 1.9 | 30 | 930 | 3.2 | • | | 0.59 (0.33–1.06) |
| Tuberclosis 12 974 12 21 932 23 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 034 026-103 036 033 036 033 036 <td>Invaries learned 12 914 12 21 932 23 035 <t< td=""><td>Theretolosis 12 914 12 21 932 23 03</td><td>AIDS</td><td>14</td><td>972</td><td>1.4</td><td>24</td><td>930</td><td>2.6</td><td>• - -</td><td></td><td>0.55 (0.28-1.06)</td></t<></td> | Invaries learned 12 914 12 21 932 23 035 <t< td=""><td>Theretolosis 12 914 12 21 932 23 03</td><td>AIDS</td><td>14</td><td>972</td><td>1.4</td><td>24</td><td>930</td><td>2.6</td><td>• - -</td><td></td><td>0.55 (0.28-1.06)</td></t<> | Theretolosis 12 914 12 21 932 23 03 | AIDS | 14 | 972 | 1.4 | 24 | 930 | 2.6 | • - - | | 0.55 (0.28-1.06) |
| Invasive bacterial diseases 5 88 954 0.8 | Invasive bacterial diseases 5 933 0.5 8 9.54 0.8 0.61 (12.02-1.88) Offer graded 50 6 6 7.4 2.05 5.8 2.02 2.5 2.31 0.25-6.61 6-50 mo after randomization 12 2.06 5.8 2.02 2.5 7.27 3.4 0.58 0.30-1.09 Patents with baseline CDH - contriction 12 2.06 5.8 2.02 2.5 0.58 0.30-1.09 Patents with baseline CDH - contriction 12 2.0 2.4 13.3 4.0 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.33-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.32-0.69 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.59 0.58 0.58 </td <td>Imase bacterial diseases 5 983 0.5 0.8 0.6 0.02.0-1.88 Offergrades 5 983 0.5 0.8 0.6 <</td> <td>Tuberculosis</td> <td>12</td> <td>974</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>21</td> <td>932</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>0.54 (0.26–1.09)</td> | Imase bacterial diseases 5 983 0.5 0.8 0.6 0.02.0-1.88 Offergrades 5 983 0.5 0.8 0.6 < | Tuberculosis | 12 | 974 | 1.2 | 21 | 932 | 2.3 | • | | 0.54 (0.26–1.09) |
| Other gade 3 or 4 adverse event Colfner gade 3 or 4 adverse event c/m after randomization 12 206 5.8 2.2 2.5 2.3 0.28 0.39 0.38 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.39 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.38 0.33 0.38 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33< | Othe grade 3 or 4 obserse event (main secondary outcome) 235 237 33 235 233 233 232 650 6-mo after randomization 15 742 200 25 727 34 | Other grade 3 or 4 shoerse event (main secondary storme) 12 206 5.8 2 2.33 0.82-661) 6-30 mo filer randomization 12 206 5.8 2.33 0.32-061) 7 et mo after randomization 12 206 5.8 2.02 2.5 2.02 2.5 Patters with sacendary storme) 12 2.06 5.8 2.02 2.5 | Invasive bacterial diseases | 5 | 983 | 0.5 | 8 | 954 | 0.8 | • • • | | 0.61 (0.20–1.88) |
| 6 mo after randomization 12 206 5.8 2.02 2.5 6 - 30 mo after randomization 15 742 2.0 2.5 7.7 3.4 | 6 monifer randomization 12 206 58 5 223 223 233 082-661 038 030-109 038 030-0109 038 030-0109 038 030-0109 038 030-030 038 030-030 038 030-030 038 030-030 038 030-030 038 030-030 038 031 | 6 mon after randomization 12 206 5.8 2.2 2.5 7.21 3.4 0.38 0.39 0.39 0.30 0.39 0.30 0.39 0.30 <th0.30< th=""> 0.30 <th0.30< th=""></th0.30<></th0.30<> | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6-30 mo after randomization 15 742 20 25 721 3.4 ••••••• 0.58 (0.30-1.09) Patients with baseline CD4+ count <500/mm ³ 3 73 1329 5.5 ••••••• 0.56 (0.38-0.83) Death or severe HV-related illness 41 1347 30 73 1329 5.5 ••••••• 0.56 (0.33-0.83) Death or severe HV-related illness 31 1361 2.3 54 1339 4.0 ••••••• 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Death or severe HV-related illness 13 1361 2.3 3.0 ••••••• 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Death or AIDS 16 1361 12 34 1359 2.0 ••••••• 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Inberculosis 16 1361 12 34 1366 2.5 •••••••• 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Inberculosis 16 14 13 329 2.0 ••••••• 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Inberculosis 16 13 12 2.8 1379 2.0 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Inberculosis 18 136 2.5 ••• | 6-30 mo after randomization 15 742 2.0 25 34 9 058 (0.30-10) Patients with backer Cohr 011 1347 3.0 1329 5.5 9 0.56 (0.38-0.83) Dearth or searce HIV-related illness 13 1351 2.3 1329 5.5 9 0.56 (0.37-0.90) Dearth or AIDS 31 1361 2.3 54 1339 3.0 0.47 (0.27-0.81) 0.47 (0.27-0.81) AIDS 31 1361 1.4 4.1 1359 3.0 0.47 (0.27-0.81) 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Dearth or AIDS 19 1361 1.2 34 1.2 34 0.36 (0.708) 0.34 (0.27-0.61) Dearth or AIDS 19 1361 1.2 34 1.2 34 0.35 (0.37-0.60) 0.48 (0.27-0.61) Thoreculois 1.2 34 1.2 2.4 1.339 2.0 0.48 (0.27-0.61) 0.31 (0.15-0.60) Thoreculois 1.2 1.2 34 1.2 2.3 1.30 0.35 (0.37-0.60) 0.48 (0.27-0.61) 0.48 (0.27-0.61) 0.31 (0.40-5.61) 0.48 (0. | 6-30 mo after randomization 15 742 2.0 25 34 ••• 0 058 (0.30-10) Patterts with baseline CD4+ count -500/mm ³ 1317 3.0 73 1329 5.5 ••• 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 58 (0.3-0.8) 0 0 68 (0.7-0.8) 0 0 68 (0.7-0.8) 0 0 68 (0.7-0.8) 0 | <6 mo after randomization | 12 | 206 | 5.8 | 2 | 202 | 2.5 | | • | - 2.33 (0.82-6.61) |
| Patients with baseline CD4+ count <500/mm ³ Patients with baseline CD4+ count <500/mm ³ 0.56 (0.38-0.8) Death or sever HIV-related illness 41 1347 3.0 73 1329 5.5 0.56 (0.38-0.8) 0.56 (0.38-0.8) Death or sever HIV-related illness 13 1361 2.3 54 1359 4.0 0.58 (0.37-0.90) Death or ADS 13 1361 2.3 54 1359 3.0 0.58 (0.37-0.90) Albo 1361 1.4 13 1.4 1359 3.0 0.58 (0.37-0.90) Albo 1361 1.4 13 1.4 1359 3.0 0.56 (0.70, 0.8) 0.38 (0.27-0.8) Albo 1363 1.2 2.8 1379 2.0 0.33 (0.15-0.69) 0.04 (0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9) 0.33 (0.15-0.69) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.31 (0.15-0.69) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.31 (0.15-0.69) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.31 (0.15-0.69) 0.30 (0.15-0.69) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.31 (0.15-0.69) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) 0.31 (0.15-0.61) 0.36 (0.7-0.8) < | Patients with baseline CD4+ count -500/mm ³ Death or severe HVV-related illness 41 1347 30 73 1329 5.5 \bullet 0.56 (0.38-0.83) Death or ADS 31 1361 2.3 5.4 1359 4.0 \bullet 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Death or ADS 19 1361 1.2 3.4 1356 2.5 \bullet 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.0 10.9 1.2 2.0 0.4 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 1 12 1034 1.2 2.9 4.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.9 4.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 6-30 mo after randomization 1.2 1034 1.2 2.0 5.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) 7.0 7.0 7.0 \bullet 0.4 (0.27-0.81) for the number of patients is the number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios we used an extended for study content on the strategy of PT versus no 1071. For the min secondary end | Parients with baseline CD4+ count -500/mm ³ Death or xHDr earlier CD4+ count -500/mm ³ AIDS Death or xHDr earlier AID - 20 0.3 (0.10-0.69) Conter grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 6-30 mo after randomization 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 30 6-30 mo after randomization 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 30 6-30 mo after randomization 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 30 6-30 mo after randomization 13 233 1.4 4-5.311 6-30 mo after randomization 14 2 32 1.071 30 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 2.2 2.9 4.0 2.0 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 2.0 5.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.9 0.9 0 | 6-30 mo after randomization | 15 | 742 | 2.0 | 25 | 727 | 3.4 | • | | 0.58 (0.30-1.09) |
| Death or severe HIV-related illness 1 1347 3.0 73 1329 5.5 •••••• 0.56 (0.38-0.8) (primary outcome) 31 1361 2.3 54 1359 4.0 •••••• 0.58 (0.37-0.90) Death or AIDS 31 1361 2.3 54 1359 3.0 0.36 (0.37-0.90) Death or AIDS 19 1361 1.4 1359 3.0 0.4 0.25 (0.37-0.90) AIDS 19 1361 1.4 1359 3.0 0.4 0.36 (0.37-0.90) 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 16 1356 1.2 34 1379 2.0 0.40 (0.27-0.81) 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 12 13 12 2.3 1379 2.0 0.40 (0.27-0.81) 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 13 2.07 2.03 0.40 (0.27-0.81) 0.48 (0.27-0.81) 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 11 2.03 0.40 (0.20-0.81) 0.40 (0.20-0.81) 0.40 (0.20-0.81) 0.40 (0.20-0.81) Or and fer randomization 12 12 2.99 | Death or severe HIV-related illness 41 1347 30 73 1329 5.5 0.56 (0.38-0.8) Optimary outcome) 31 1361 2.3 54 1339 40 0.58 (0.37-0.90) Death or AIDS 31 1361 2.3 54 1339 3.0 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Dubrculosis 16 1353 1.2 34 1366 2.5 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 0.33 (0.15-0.69) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 0.33 (0.15-0.69) Invasive bacterial diseases 31 2.3 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.33 (0.15-0.69) 0.64 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.39 (0.20-0.76) | Death or secret HV-related illness113473.07313295.5 \bullet \bullet \bullet $0.56 (0.38-0.33)$ Death or AIDS3115612.35.413594.0 \bullet \bullet $0.47 (0.27-0.33)$ Death or AIDS3115612.35.413593.0 \bullet \bullet $0.47 (0.27-0.33)$ Naise backenel1613631.23.413662.3 0.7 $0.38 (0.27-0.33)$ Invasive backenes913750.72.813792.0 $0.47 (0.27-0.63)$ Other grade 3913750.72.813792.0 $0.48 (0.27-0.63)$ Other grade 391372.310713.0 $0.40 (0.5) (0.6) (0.8) (0.1) (0.47-0.53)$ Group after randomization312.831091.22.29 $0.40 (0.5) (0.6) (0.1) (0.8) (0.1) (0.47-5.31)$ Group after randomization1210341.23.2 1071 $0.2 (0.5) (0.6) (0.7)$ | Patients with baseline CD4+ count <500 |)/mm³ | | | | | | | | |
| Death or AIDS 31 1361 23 54 1359 4.0 6.38 0.370-0.90 AIDS 19 1361 1.4 4.1 1359 3.0 6.370-0.90 0.47 (0.27-0.81) AIDS 16 1363 1.2 34 1366 2.5 6.47-0.50 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 16 1363 1.2 34 1366 2.5 6.4 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Unvasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 9 0.33 (0.15-0.69) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 2.83 10.9 12 0.33 0.15-0.69) Ofter grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 2.03 12 0.33 0.15-0.63) Gen after randomization 12 10.9 12 2.99 4.0 0.4 0.5 0.3 0.40-5.13) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 10.9 12 0.3 0.4 0.5 0 | Death or AIDS 31 1361 23 54 1359 4.0 9 035(037-030) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 037(027-031) 034(027-031) 034(027-031) 034(027-031) 034(027-031) 034(027-031) 034(027-031) 033(015-0.050) | Deterth Of 131 1361 2.3 54 1359 40 6.38 60.37 60.30 60.36 60.37 | Death or severe HIV-related illness (primary outcome) | 41 | 1347 | 3.0 | 73 | 1329 | 5.5 | • | | 0.56 (0.38–0.83) |
| AIDS 19 1361 14 41 1359 3.0 14 0.47 0.27-0.81 Tuberculosis 16 1363 1.2 34 1366 2.5 14 0.43 0.33 0.15-0.61 Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 2.8 1379 2.0 0.43 0.33 0.15-0.63 Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 2.83 10.9 1.2 299 4.0 0.4 0.5 0.33 0.140-5.31 6-30 mo after randomization 12 10.9 12 232 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.3 0.20-0.76 6-30 mo after randomization 12 10.9 12 12 32 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.76 0.3 0.20-0.76 6-30 mo after randomization 12 10.9 12 3.2 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.3 0.2 0.5 0.7 0.3 0.2 0.3 | AIDS 19 1361 14 41 1359 3.0 0.47 (0.27-0.81) Tuberculosis 16 1363 12 34 1366 2.5 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 28 1379 2.0 0.48 (0.27-0.81) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 2.83 10.9 12 289 4.0 0.30 (0.2-0.69) 0.33 (0.15-0.69) Chen grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 2.33 10.9 12 2299 4.0 0.31 (0.2-0.69) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 0.40 (5.0.6) 0.20 (0.70 (8.0) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 0.40 (5.0.6) 0.20 (0.70 (8.0) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.30 (4.05 (6.7 / 0.8) (6.7 / 0.8) (6.7 / 0.8 | AIDS 19 1361 14 41 1359 3.0 0.47 0.27<0.81 Tuberculosis 16 1363 1.2 34 1366 2.5 0.47 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.35 0.48 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.35 0.47 0.33 0.33 0.35 0.47 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.35 0.47 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.20<0.76 | Death or AIDS | 31 | 1361 | 2.3 | 54 | 1359 | 4.0 | | | 0.58 (0.37-0.90) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Tuberculosis1613631.23413662.5 \bullet \bullet 0.48 (0.27-0.87)Invasive bacterial diseases913750.72813792.0 \bullet 0.33 (0.15-0.69)Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event(main secondary outcome)3128310.9122994.0 \bullet 0.3 (0.15-0.69)C mo after randomization3128310.9122994.0 \bullet 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.91.00.39 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23210713.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23210713.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.90.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23210713.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23.210713.0 \bullet \bullet 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.91.06-30 mo after randomization1210341.23.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.23.0 \bullet \bullet 0.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization1210341.22.05.00.3 (0.20-0.76)6-30 mo after randomization121.22.40.5< | Tuberculosis 16 1363 12 34 1366 2.5 9 0.48 (0.27-0.8) Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 28 1379 2.0 9 0.48 (0.27-0.8) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 239 4.0 9 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.39 (0.20-0.76) 0.31 (0.20-0.76) 0.31 (0.20-0.76) 0.31 (0.20-0.76) 0.31 (0.20-0.76) <td>AIDS</td> <td>19</td> <td>1361</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>41</td> <td>1359</td> <td>3.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.47 (0.27-0.81)</td> | AIDS | 19 | 1361 | 1.4 | 41 | 1359 | 3.0 | | | 0.47 (0.27-0.81) |
| Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 28 1379 2.0 • • 0.33 (0.15-0.6) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 - 6 mo after randomization 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 0.70 (0.50-0.7)6) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 0.01 0.0 0.05 0.0 0.05 0.0 0.06 0.0 0.0 0.06 0.0 | Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 28 1379 2.0 9 0.33 0.15-0.69) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 9.1 0.33 0.140-5.31) 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.39 0.20-0.76) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 3.2 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.39 0.20-0.76) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 3.2 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.39 0.20-0.76) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 3.2 1071 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.39 0.20-0.76) 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 3.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 0.20 0.20 | Invasive bacterial diseases 9 1375 0.7 28 1379 2.0 — 0.33 (0.15-0.6) Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 — 0.33 (0.15-0.6) - 6 mo after randomization 31 283 10.9 12 32 1071 3.0 — — 0.33 (0.20-0.76) - 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 — — 0.39 (0.20-0.76) - 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 — — 0.39 (0.20-0.76) - 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 — — 0.39 (0.20-0.76) - 6 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 3.0 . < | Tuberculosis | 16 | 1363 | 1.2 | 34 | 1366 | 2.5 | •••• | | 0.48 (0.27-0.87) |
| Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) -6 mo after randomization -30 mo after r | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 9.0 0.39 (0.20-0.76) | Invasive bacterial diseases | 6 | 1375 | 0.7 | 28 | 1379 | 2.0 - | | | 0.33 (0.15-0.69) |
| <6 mo after randomization 31 283 10.9 12 299 4.0 6-30 mo after randomization 12 103 1.2 32 1071 3.0 0.39 (0.20-0.76) | | <6 mo after randomization | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 <u> </u> | 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 3.0 Early ART Better 0.3 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 2.0 5.0 2.0 5.0 2.0 F. 0.3 0.20-0.76) Early ART Better Deferred ART Better Deferred ART Better The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazard assumption we not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cost model that con- | 6-30 mo after randomization 12 1034 1.2 32 1071 30 <u>Early ART Better</u> 0.3 (0.20-0.76) Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that con- tained a Heaviside function. This model provided two hazard ratios: one that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 8 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for less than 6 months or low-up. There was no significant interaction between interventions in any of the analyses. | <6 mo after randomization | 31 | 283 | 10.9 | 12 | 299 | 4.0 | | | 2.73 (1.40-5.31) |
| Early ART Better Deferred ART Better | Early ART Better Deferred ART Better Deferred ART Better Deferred ART Better Deferred ART Better Tigure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-bazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that con- | Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). Deferred ART Better The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for taneed a Heaviside function. This model provided two hazard ratios: one that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for less than 6 months or | 6–30 mo after randomization | 12 | 1034 | 1.2 | 32 | 1071 | 3.0 0 | 1.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 | 2.0 5.0 | 0.39 (0.20-0.76) |
| Early ART Better Deferred ART Better | Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that con- | Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). Deferred ART Better The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that contained a Heaviside function. This model provided two hazard ratios: one that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for less than 6 months or follow-up. There was no significant interaction between interventions in any of the analyses. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that con- | Figure 3. Rates of and Hazard Ratios for the Primary End Point and Main Secondary End Point, According to ART Strategy (Early vs. Deferred). The number of patients is the number with at least one event. The rate is the incidence of a first event per 100 person-years. Hazard ratios were adjusted for study center and for the strategy of IPT versus no IPT. For the main secondary end point, the proportional-hazards assumption was not verified. Therefore, we used an extended Cox model that con- tained a Heaviside function. This model provided two hazard ratios: one that was constant for 6 months or more of follow-up and the other that was constant for less than 6 months o follow-up. There was no significant interaction between interventions in any of the analyses. | | | | | | | | Early ART Better | Deferred ART Better | |

10

N ENGLJ MED NEJM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

| | | | 0.65 (0.48–0.88) | 0.60 (0.34–1.09) | 0.48 (0.33-0.70) | 0.44 (0.28–0.69) | | 0.86 (0.52–1.42) | 0.76 (0.49–1.17) | | 0.61 (0.36–1.01) | 0.46 (0.25–0.85) | 0.47 (0.23–0.97) | | 1.43 (0.55–3.77) | | 0.57 (0.30–1.08) | 0.68 (0.47–0.99) | 0.50 (0.32–0.80) | 0.42 (0.23–0.76) | | 0.69 (0.38–1.27) | 0.98 (0.54–1.77) | 5.0 | ▲ | ır | ed for study center and for I an extended Cox model that tant for less than 6 months of |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|---|
| ted Hazard Ratio (95% Cl | | | | _] . | | | | | L | | | | | | | | L | | | | | | | .0 2.0 | | No IPT Bette | zard ratios were adjust ied. Therefore, we used the other that was consi |
| Adjus | | | • | • | ļ | F | | - | Ŧ | | | - | | | | | • | F | F | | | • | | 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 | • | IPT Better | ccording to IPT or No IPT. event per 100 person-years. Ha zards assumption was not verif nonths or more of follow-up and |
| 3) | rate | | 4.7 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 | | 6.5 | 2.7 | | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 | | 3.5 | | 3.5 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 2.6 | | 8.7 | 2.1 | 0 | | | Point, A of a first tional-ha int for 6 r |
| No IPT ups 1 and | person-yr | | 2227 | 2484 | 2263 | 2263 | | 489 | 1755 | | 920 | 931 | 931 | | 202 | | 718 | 1307 | 1332 | 1332 | | 287 | 1036 | | | | ndary End ncidence ne proport vas consta |
| (gro | no. of patients | | 104 | 29 | 83 | 57 | | 32 | 47 | | 37 | 31 | 22 | | 7 | | 25 | 67 | 52 | 35 | | 25 | 22 | | | | Main Secor rate is the ii and point, th s: one that w |
| (+ | rate | | 3.0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | | 5.6 | 2.0 | | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | | 4.9 | | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | | 6.1 | 2.1 | | | | Point and Jent. The condary e izard ratic |
| IPT Sups 2 and 4 | person-yr | | 2334 | 2538 | 2372 | 2372 | | 500 | 1818 | | 966 | 975 | 975 | | 206 | | 750 | 1368 | 1396 | 1396 | | 295 | 1068 | | | | mary End I east one ev ne main seo ded two ha |
| (gr | no. of patients | | 12 | 18 | 42 | 26 | | 28 | 37 | m³ | 24 | 15 | 11 | | 10 | | m³ 15 | 47 | 27 | 15 | | 18 | 22 | | | | for the Pri r with at l kRT. For th odel provi |
| Subgroup | | All patients | Death or severe HIV-related illness (primary outcome) | Death | Death or tuberculosis | Tuberculosis | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | <6 mo after randomization | 6-30 mo after randomization | Patients with baseline CD4+ count ≥500/m | Death or severe HIV-related illness (primary outcome) | Death or tuberculosis | Tuberculosis | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | <6 mo after randomization | 6–30 mo after randomization | Patients with baseline CD4+ count <500/m | Death or severe HIV-related illness (primary outcome) | Death or tuberculosis | Tuberculosis | Other grade 3 or 4 adverse event (main secondary outcome) | <6 mo after randomization | 6–30 mo after randomization | | | | Figure 4. Rates of and Hazard Ratios 4 The number of patients is the number the strategy of early versus deferred A contained a Heaviside function. This m |

N ENGLJ MED NEJM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2015 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

11

Our findings suggest that starting ART before the CD4+ count falls below 500 cells per cubic millimeter may be beneficial in patients who live in countries that have a high burden of tuberculosis and bacterial diseases. The efficacy of earlier ART in decreasing the relative risk of severe illness, as estimated by hazard ratios, was similar when CD4+ counts were above and when they were below 500 cells per cubic millimeter, and although the absolute risk of events across CD4+ categories, as estimated by CD4+-specific rates, decreased with increasing CD4+ counts, the risk of events remained clinically significant during the follow-up time when patients had CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter. These findings, in patients with CD4+ counts of less than 800 cells per cubic millimeter, confirm and extend those of the HIV Prevention Trials Network 052 study, which showed similar results in patients with CD4+ counts of 350 to 550 cells per cubic millimeter.²⁵

Despite WHO recommendations,²² manv countries with a high tuberculosis burden have not adopted IPT guidelines. In those that have, the coverage remains low.26,27 Previous evidence of IPT efficacy from randomized trials was derived mostly from studies conducted before the ART era.^{22,28-31} Evidence for the added value of IPT in patients receiving ART was mostly from patients with CD4+ counts of less than 500 cells per cubic millimeter.³²⁻³⁸ Our data are consistent with these previous studies that showed that IPT and ART have additive efficacy with respect to the prevention of tuberculosis and that suggested that the two therapies should be given concomitantly. They also highlight for countries that are reluctant to recommend IPT that isoniazid can be prescribed safely when given early in the course of HIV disease.

Short-term adverse events were more common with earlier ART than with deferred ART and involved toxic effects that are expected with antiretroviral drugs — mainly digestive and neurologic effects. The cumulative numbers of other primary end-point components, including AIDS-defining and non–AIDS-defining cancers, and of other grade 3 or 4 events, including hematologic, renal, and hepatic events, were higher among patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy.

Our study has several limitations. First, the frequency of events such as cancers, cardiovas-

cular diseases, or bone-related toxic effects was probably underestimated, owing to limited diagnostic techniques. Second, this was an open-label study in which investigators were aware of the trial interventions. Third, during the study period, we adapted our criteria for starting ART in patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy as WHO guidelines evolved. Therefore, the early-ART strategy was not compared with a single, unchanging reference strategy. This is both a limitation and a strength of the study, because it allowed an assessment of the efficacy of the interventions as practice changed. Our data highlight the continued benefit of starting ART earlier than at the CD4+ thresholds recommended by the WHO, even though the threshold was raised over time to 500 cells per cubic millimeter. The robustness of our results in patients with CD4+ counts of at least 500 cells per cubic millimeter suggests that the true effective treatment threshold, if there is any, is at least 800 cells per cubic millimeter.

Finally, although our findings suggest that earlier initiation of ART than is currently recommended in Ivory Coast may be beneficial, they do not answer the question of whether higher CD4+ thresholds should be used or whether ART should be recommended to all HIV-infected patients regardless of the CD4+ count. On the one hand, tuberculosis and bacterial diseases are common diseases that act as opportunistic infections in people living with HIV, and we suspect that there is no higher CD4+ threshold that could identify a sharp decrease in risk.³⁹⁻⁴² On the other hand, patients with slow progression of HIV infection, who represent a small minority of patients, may not have the same benefitrisk ratio from earlier ART as other patients.

During the trial period, patients who started ART early and those who deferred and eventually started ART had similar rates of loss to follow-up, attendance at scheduled visits, and virologic success at 24 months. However, all the patients who were assigned to the early-ART strategy received 30 months of treatment, whereas most patients who were assigned to the deferred-ART strategy did not. We thus extended follow-up for patients assigned to the deferred-ART strategy who started ART during the course of the trial (i.e., within 30 months after inclusion) until they reached 30 months of treatment. Further comparison of adherence and virologic

N ENGLJ MED NEJM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

| Table 2. Primary End-Point Events and Grade 3 or 4 Adverse Events, According to Trial Group and Strategy. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Event | Group 1: Deferred ART | Group 2: Deferred ART plus IPT | Group 3: Early ART | Group 4: Early ART plus IPT | Groups 1 and 2: Deferred-ART Strategy | Groups 3 and 4: Early-ART Strategy | Groups 1 and 3: No-IPT Strategy | Groups 2 and 4: IPT Strategy | | | | | |
| | | | | number | oj evenis | | | | | | | | |
| Primary end-point events | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 75 | 60 | 41 | 28 | 135 | 69 | 116 | 88 | | | | | |
| Death* | 16 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 26 | 21 | 29 | 18 | | | | | |
| Tuberculosis† | 41 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 57 | 28 | 58 | 27 | | | | | |
| Pulmonary | 20 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 24 | 19 | 31 | 12 | | | | | |
| Extrapulmonary‡ | 21 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 33 | 9 | 27 | 15 | | | | | |
| Invasive bacterial disease§ | 14 | 28 | 7 | 7 | 42 | 14 | 21 | 35 | | | | | |
| AIDS-defining or non–AIDS- defining cancer¶ | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 7 | | | | | |
| Others AIDS-defining disease¶ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | | | | | |
| Grade 3 or 4 adverse events | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 46 | 44 | 42 | 33 | 90 | 75 | 88 | 77 | | | | | |
| Hematologic | 26 | 19 | 16 | 10 | 45 | 26 | 42 | 29 | | | | | |
| Liver-related | 8 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 12 | | | | | |
| Renal | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 8 | | | | | |
| Digestive | 0 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 11 | | | | | |
| Neurologic | 2 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 6 | | | | | |
| Cardiovascular | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | | | | | |
| Cutaneous | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | | | | | |
| Other | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | | | | | |

* Of the 47 deaths, 22 were considered to be of unknown cause; in the case of 25 deaths, a possible or probable cause of death was identified. Of the latter, 15 were incident events (the main criterion for diagnosing the disease appeared after the patient's enrollment in the study), and 10 were prevalent events (the main criterion for diagnosing the disease was proved to have been present at the time of enrollment). The 15 incident events that were considered to be a possible or probable cause of death were 5 events of tuberculosis, 2 events of hepatitis, and 1 event each of stroke, necrotizing fasciitis, visceral abscess, lymphoma, non–AIDS-defining cancer, cryptococcosis, renal insufficiency, and firearm injury. The 10 prevalent events that were considered to be a possible or probable cause of death were 3 events of tuberculosis, 3 events of non–AIDS-defining cancer, 2 events of renal insufficiency, and 1 event each of bacterial pneumonia and myocardiopathy.
† Of the 85 episodes of tuberculosis, 44 were documented as definite (41 with positive cultures and 5 with histologic evidence, including

2 with positive cultures), 29 as probable, and 12 as possible.

- The 42 extrapulmonary cases included 16 cases with both pulmonary and extrapulmonary sites. Documented extrapulmonary disorders were deep lymphadenopathy (16 cases), peripheral lymphadenopathy (9), pleural effusion (8), osteomyelitis (4), miliary disease (2), meningitis (1), pericarditis (1), esophageal ulceration (1), mammary gland infection (1), and pancytopenia (1); several disorders could occur in the same patient.
- § Of the 56 episodes of invasive bacterial diseases, 30 were documented as definite and 26 as probable. The 56 episodes of invasive bacterial diseases were 23 episodes of pneumonia, 12 of isolated bacteremia, 9 of pyelonephritis, 4 of typhoid fever, 2 of prostatitis, 2 of necrotizing fasciitis, 2 of enteritis with bacteremia, 1 of visceral abscess, and 1 of septic shock (for details according to trial group and strategy, see Table S2 in Section 6 in the Supplementary Appendix). Clinically significant bacteria were isolated in 30 episodes, including 18 from blood cultures, 3 from blood and urine cultures, 1 from blood and stool cultures, and 8 from urine cultures. Pathogens were *Escherichia coli* (15 episodes), non-Typhi salmonella (6), *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi (4), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (2), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (1), citrobacter species (1).
- AIDS-defining diseases were identified as diseases included in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention case definition of AIDS.⁷ Details on the specific disease events are provided in Table S2 in Section 6 in the Supplementary Appendix.

Adverse events were categorized according to the grading table for severe adverse events of the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis. Details on the specific events are provided in Table S3 in Section 6 in the Supplementary Appendix.

The New England Journal of Medicine Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

outcomes over a 30-month period of effective treatment will provide additional insights.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that ART has a favorable benefit-risk ratio in patients before the CD4+ count reaches the current treatment threshold of 500 cells per cubic millimeter; we found that 6 months of IPT combined with early ART led to improved outcomes and that the spectrum of diseases for which early ART has a protective effect includes not only tuberculosis but also invasive bacterial diseases. Our data suggest that in low-resource settings, ART provides substantial clinical benefits in patients who have higher CD4+ counts at the time of initiation than those previously recommended for the initiation of ART.

Presented in part at the 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, February 23-26, 2015.

Supported by grants (ANRS 12136, ANRS 12224, and ANRS 12253) from the French National Agency for Research on AIDS and Viral Hepatitis.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article.

We thank all the patients who participated in this trial. and the members of the independent data and safety monitoring board: Brigitte Autran, François-Xavier Blanc, Dominique Costagliola (chair), Ogobara Doumbo, Sinata Koulla-Shiro, Souleymane Mboup, and Yazdan Yazdanpanah. Additional acknowledgments are included in the Supplementary Appendix.

APPENDIX

The members of the writing group are as follows: Christine Danel, Ph.D., Raoul Moh, Ph.D., Delphine Gabillard, M.Sc., Anani Badje, M.P.H., Jérôme Le Carrou, Ph.D., Timothée Ouassa, Ph.D., Eric Ouattara, Ph.D., Amani Anzian, M.D., Jean-Baptiste Ntakpé, M.P.H., Albert Minga, Ph.D., Gérard M. Kouame, M.P.H., Franck Bouhoussou, M.Sc., Arlette Emieme, M.D., Antoine Kouamé, M.Sc., André Inwoley, Ph.D., Thomas-d'Aquin Toni, Ph.D., Hugues Ahiboh, Ph.D., Mathieu Kabran, M.Sc., Cyprien Rabe, M.D., Baba Sidibé, M.D., Gustave Nzunetu, M.D., Romuald Konan, M.Sc., Joachim Gnokoro, M.D., Patrice Gouesse, M.D., Eugène Messou, Ph.D., Lambert Dohoun, M.D., Synali Kamagate, M.D., Abo Yao, M.P.H., Solange Amon, M.D., Amadou-Barenson Kouame, M.D., Aboli Koua, M.D., Emmanuel Kouamé, M.D., Yao Ndri, M.D. (deceased), Olivier Ba-Gomis, M.D., Marcelle Daligou, M.D., Simplice Ackoundzé, M.D., Denise Hawerlander, M.D., Alex Ani, M.D., Fassery Dembélé, M.D., Fatoumata Koné, M.D., Calixte Guéhi, M.P.H., Constance Kanga, M.D., Serge Koule, M.D., Jonas Séri, M.D., Mykayila Oyebi, M.D., Nathalie Mbakop, M.D., Olewole Makaila, M.D., Carole Babatunde, M.D., Nathanael Babatounde, M.D., Gisèle Bleoué, M.D., Mireille Tchoutedjem, M.D., Alain-Claude Kouadio, M.D., Ghislaine Sena, M.D., Sahinou-Yediga Yededji, M.D., Rodrigue Assi, M.D., Alima Bakayoko, M.D., Alassane Mahassadi, M.P.H., Alain Attia, Ph.D., Armel Oussou, M.D., Max Mobio, M.D., Doféré Bamba, M.D., Mesmin Koman, M.D., Apollinaire Horo, M.D., Nina Deschamps, M.P.H., Henri Chenal, M.D., Madeleine Sassan-Morokro, M.P.H., Seidou Konate, M.D., Kakou Aka, M.D., Eba Aoussi, M.D., Valérie Journot, Ph.D., Célestin Nchot, M.Sc., Sophie Karcher, M.Sc., Marie-Laure Chaix, Ph.D., Christine Rouzioux, Ph.D., Papa-Salif Sow, Ph.D., Christian Perronne, Ph.D., Pierre-Marie Girard, Ph.D., Hervé Menan, Ph.D., Emmanuel Bissagnene, M.D., Auguste Kadio, M.D., Virginie Ettiegne-Traore, M.D., Corinne Moh-Semdé, M.Sc., Abo Kouame, M.D., Jean-Marie Massumbuko, M.D., Geneviève Chêne, Ph.D., Mireille Dosso, Ph.D., Serge K. Domoua, M.D., Thérèse N'Dri-Yoman, M.D., Roger Salamon, Ph.D., Serge P. Eholié, M.D., M.P.H., and Xavier Anglaret, Ph.D.

The affiliations of the members of the writing group are as follows: INSERM Unité 897, Université de Bordeaux, Talence (C.D., R.M., D.G., A. Badje, J.L., E.O., J.-B.N., A. Minga, G.M.K., E.M., C.G., V.J., S. Karcher, G.C., R.S., S.P.E., X.A.), Laboratoire de Virologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire (CHU) Saint Louis (M.-L.C.), Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU Necker (C. Rouzioux), and Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, CHU Saint Antoine (P.-M.G.), Paris, and CHU Raymond Poincaré, Garches (C.P.) — all in France; Programme PAC-CI, Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA research site (C.D., R.M., D.G., A. Badje, J.L., T.O., E.O., A. Anzian, A. Minga, F.B., A.E., A. Kouamé, A.I., T.-T., H.A., M. Kabran, R.K., L.D., A.Y., N.D., C.N., S. Karcher, H.M., T.N.-Y., R.S., S.P.E., X.A.), Centre de Diagnostic et de Recherches sur le SIDA (R.M., T.O., A.E., A.I., T.-T., H.A., M. Kabran, H.M.), Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales (C. Rabe, B.S., G.N., K.A., E.A., E.B., A. Kadio, S.P.E.), Service de Pneumologie (R.A., A. Bakayoko, S.K.D.), Service d'Otorhinolaryngologie (M.M.), Service d'Ophtalmologie (D.B.), and Service de Gynécologie (M. Koman), CHU Treichville, Centre Médical de Suivi des Donneurs de Sang (A. Minga, L.D., S. Kamagate, A.Y., S. Konate), Centre Intégré de Recherches Biocliniques d'Abidjan (O.B.-G., M. Daligou, S. Ackoundzé, D.H., H.C.), Unité de Soins Ambulatoire et de Conseil (A. Ani, F.D., F.K., C.G., C.K., S. Koule, J.S.), Centre La Pierre Angulaire (A.-C.K., G.S., S.-Y.Y., M.S.-M.), Centre de Prise en Charge et de Formation (A. Anzian, J.G., P.G., E.M., C.M.-S.), Service de Gastro-entéro-hépatologie (A. Mahassadi, A. Attia, T.N.-Y.), and Service de Gynécologie Obstétrique, CHU de Yopougon (A.H.), Hôpital Général d'Abobo Nord (S. Amon, A.-B.K., A. Koua, E.K., Y.N.), Formation Sanitaire Urbaine Communautaire d'Anonkoua Kouté (M.O., N.M., O.M.), Hôpital El Rapha (C.B., N.B., G.B., M.T.), Programme National de Lutte contre le SIDA, Ministère de la Santé et de la Lutte contre le VIH/SIDA (V.E.-T., A. Kouame), Ensemble pour une Solidarité Thérapeutique Hospitalière en Réseau (J.-M.M.), and Institut Pasteur de Côte d'Ivoire (M. Dosso), Abidjan, and Service de Dermatologie du CHU de Bouaké, Bouaké (A.O.) — all in Ivory Coast; and Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, CHU Fann, Dakar, Sénégal (P.-S.S.). Drs. Danel and Moh and Drs. Eholié and Anglaret contributed equally to this article.

REFERENCES

1. Antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection in adults and adolescents: recommendations for a public health approach. 2010 revision. Geneva: World Health Organization (http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/ arv/adult2010/en).

2. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: World Health Organization (http://www .who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/arv2013/en). 3. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et

al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med 2011;365:493-505.

4. Severe P, Juste MA, Ambroise A, et al. Early versus standard antiretroviral therapy for HIV-infected adults in Haiti. N Engl J Med 2010;363:257-65.

5. Emery S, Neuhaus JA, Phillips AN, et al. Major clinical outcomes in antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naive participants and in those not receiving ART at baseline in

N ENGL | MED NEIM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

the SMART study. J Infect Dis 2008;197: 1133-44.

6. Boulware DR, Hullsiek KH, Puronen CE, et al. Higher levels of CRP, D-dimer, IL-6, and hyaluronic acid before initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) are associated with increased risk of AIDS or death. J Infect Dis 2011;203:1637-46.

7. 1993 Revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for AIDS among adolescents and adults. MMWR Recomm Rep 1992;41(RR-17):1-19.

8. Hirschtick RE, Glassroth J, Jordan MC, et al. Bacterial pneumonia in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. N Engl J Med 1995;333:845-51.

9. Anglaret X, Minga A, Gabillard D, et al. AIDS and non-AIDS morbidity and mortality across the spectrum of CD4 cell counts in HIV-infected adults before starting antiretroviral therapy in Cote d'Ivoire. Clin Infect Dis 2012;54:714-23.

10. Lucas SB, Hounnou A, Peacock C, et al. The mortality and pathology of HIV infection in a west African city. AIDS 1993;7:1569-79.

11. Rana FS, Hawken MP, Mwachari C, et al. Autopsy study of HIV-1-positive and HIV-1-negative adult medical patients in Nairobi, Kenya. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2000;24:23-9.

12. Wong EB, Omar T, Setlhako GJ, et al. Causes of death on antiretroviral therapy: a post-mortem study from South Africa. PLoS One 2012;7(10):e47542.

13. Cox JA, Lukande RL, Nelson AM, et al. An autopsy study describing causes of death and comparing clinico-pathological findings among hospitalized patients in Kampala, Uganda. PLoS One 2012;7(3): e33685.

14. Martinson NA, Karstaedt A, Venter WD, et al. Causes of death in hospitalized adults with a premortem diagnosis of tuberculosis: an autopsy study. AIDS 2007; 21:2043-50.

15. Corbett EL, Churchyard GJ, Charalambos S, et al. Morbidity and mortality in South African gold miners: impact of untreated disease due to human immunodeficiency virus. Clin Infect Dis 2002;34: 1251-8.

16. Ansari NA, Kombe AH, Kenyon TA, et al. Pathology and causes of death in a group of 128 predominantly HIV-positive patients in Botswana, 1997-1998. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2002;6:55-63.

17. Suthar AB, Lawn SD, del Amo J, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for prevention of tuberculosis in adults with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2012;9(7):e1001270.

18. Granich RM, Gilks CF, Dye C, De Cock KM, Williams BG. Universal voluntary HIV testing with immediate antiretroviral therapy as a strategy for elimination of HIV transmission: a mathematical model. Lancet 2009;373:48-57.

19. Kufa T, Mngomezulu V, Charalambous S, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis among HIV clinic attendees: association with antiretroviral therapy and implications for intensified case finding, isoniazid preventive therapy, and infection control. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 60(2):e22-e28.

20. Lester R, Hamilton R, Charalambous S, et al. Barriers to implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy in HIV clinics: a qualitative study. AIDS 2010;24:Suppl 5: S45-S48.

21. Getahun H, Kittikraisak W, Heilig CM, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings: individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS Med 2011;8(1):e1000391.

22. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained setting. Geneva: World Health Organization (http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241500708_eng.pdf).

23. Ouattara E, Danel C, Moh R, et al. Early upper digestive tract side effects of zidovudine with tenofovir plus emtricitabine in West African adults with high CD4 counts. J Int AIDS Soc 2013;16: 18059.

24. Messou E, Chaix ML, Gabillard D, et al. Association between medication possession ratio, virologic failure and drug resistance in HIV-1-infected adults on antiretroviral therapy in Côte d'Ivoire. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011;56:356-64.

25. Grinsztejn B, Hosseinipour MC, Ribaudo HJ, et al. Effects of early versus delayed initiation of antiretroviral treatment on clinical outcomes of HIV-1 infection: results from the phase 3 HPTN 052 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14:281-90.

26. Gupta S, Granich R, Date A, et al. Review of policy and status of implementation of collaborative HIV-TB activities in 23 high-burden countries. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2014;18:1149-58.

27. Getahun H, Granich R, Sculier D, et al. Implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV worldwide: barriers and solutions. AIDS 2010;24:Suppl 5:S57-S65.

28. Whalen CC, Johnson JL, Okwera A, et al. A trial of three regimens to prevent tuberculosis in Ugandan adults infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. N Engl J Med 1997;337:801-8.

29. Mwinga A, Hosp M, Godfrey-Faussett P, et al. Twice weekly tuberculosis preventive therapy in HIV infection in Zambia. AIDS 1998;12:2447-57.

30. Pape JW, Jean SS, Ho JL, Hafner A, Johnson WD Jr. Effect of isoniazid prophylaxis on incidence of active tuberculo-

sis and progression of HIV infection. Lancet 1993;342:268-72.

31. Perriëns JH, St Louis ME, Mukadi YB, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients in Zaire — a controlled trial of treatment for either 6 or 12 months. N Engl J Med 1995;332:779-84.

32. Samandari T, Agizew TB, Nyirenda S, et al. 6-month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment for tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2011;377:1588-98.

33. Charalambous S, Grant AD, Innes C, et al. Association of isoniazid preventive therapy with lower early mortality in individuals on antiretroviral therapy in a workplace programme. AIDS 2010;24: Suppl 5:S5-S13.

34. Rangaka MX, Wilkinson RJ, Boulle A, et al. Isoniazid plus antiretroviral therapy to prevent tuberculosis: a randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2014;384:682-90.

35. Briggs MA, Emerson C, Modi S, Taylor NK, Date A. Use of isoniazid preventive therapy for tuberculosis prophylaxis among people living with HIV/AIDS: a review of the literature. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015;68:Suppl 3:S297-S305.

36. Yirdaw KD, Jerene D, Gashu Z, et al. Beneficial effect of isoniazid preventive therapy and antiretroviral therapy on the incidence of tuberculosis in people living with HIV in Ethiopia. PLoS One 2014;9(8): e104557.

37. Golub JE, Pronyk P, Mohapi L, et al. Isoniazid preventive therapy, HAART and tuberculosis risk in HIV-infected adults in South Africa: a prospective cohort. AIDS 2009:23:631-6.

38. Durovni B, Saraceni V, Moulton LH, et al. Effect of improved tuberculosis screening and isoniazid preventive therapy on incidence of tuberculosis and death in patients with HIV in clinics in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a stepped wedge, clusterrandomised trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2013; 13:852-8.

39. Kufa T, Mabuto T, Muchiri E, et al. Incidence of HIV-associated tuberculosis among individuals taking combination antiretroviral therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014;9(11): e111209.

40. Martinson NA, Barnes GL, Moulton LH, et al. New regimens to prevent tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection. N Engl J Med 2011;365:11-20.

41. Lawn SD, Myer L, Edwards D, Bekker LG, Wood R. Short-term and long-term risk of tuberculosis associated with CD4 cell recovery during antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. AIDS 2009;23:1717-25.

42. Williams BG, Hargrove JW, Humphrey JH. The benefits of early treatment for HIV. AIDS 2010;24:1790-1.

Copyright © 2015 Massachusetts Medical Society.

N ENGLJ MED NEJM.ORG

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org by CEDRIC ARVIEUX on July 21, 2015. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.