β-Lactams against Tuberculosis — New Trick for an Old Dog?

TO THE EDITOR: New treatments are needed to combat the worldwide increase in resistance to antituberculosis drugs. The outlook for patients with tuberculosis who do not show a response to the key agents used in treatment — isoniazid, rifampin, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides — is grim and reminiscent of the plight of patients with cancer in the era before chemotherapy. New agents are emerging, but the obligatory evaluation of their safety and efficacy in combination with other antituberculosis and antiretroviral agents slows the pace of progress. Repurposing or combining commercially available products may offer a faster track to new antituberculosis regimens. For many decades, the hurdle of genetically encoded β-lactamase in Mycobacterium tuberculosis was considered insurmountable in clinical practice, a view that was supported by two unsuccessful clinical trials with amoxicillin–clavulanic acid, one conducted in the United States and Turkey and one in South Africa. The World Health Organization currently lists amoxicillin–clavulanic acid as a third-line (and last-line) agent with unclear efficacy.

In vitro data showing that carbapenems are intrinsically poor substrates for M. tuberculosis β-lactamase have challenged this belief. Merope-nem combined with amoxicillin–clavulanic acid not only resisted hydrolysis well but also showed synergistic antituberculosis activity. In a prospective randomized trial (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT02349841) approved by ethics and regulatory authorities, we randomly allocated two groups of 15 patients each with smear-positive tuberculosis to receive either 2 g of meropenem in a bolus infusion plus oral amoxicillin–clavulanic acid in doses of 500 mg and 125 mg, respectively, every 8 hours or daily treatment with isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol for 14 days. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. We obtained serial counts of colony-forming units (CFUs) per milliliter of sputum from 16-hour overnight sputum collections for each patient. Using a linear mixed-effects model, we estimated the mean daily decline in CFUs with meropenem plus amoxicillin–clavulanic acid and with isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol as 0.11 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.09 to 0.13) and 0.17 (95% CI, 0.15 to 0.19) log10 CFUs, respectively (P<0.001 for both groups, as compared with no effect) (Fig. 1). The reduction of the sputum mycobacterial load by 1.5 orders of magnitude in the first 14 days of treatment is on par with that previously reported for the key agents rifampin and pyrazinamide. Adverse events among patients receiving meropenem plus amoxicillin–clavulanic acid were infrequent and mild.

It would be welcome news for clinicians who...
are treating patients with highly resistant tuberculosis that a commercially available β-lactam combination might be considered on the short list of options for a rescue regimen. β-Lactams have a long record of safety in a wide-ranging patient population, including children and patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. The finding of antimycobacterial activity early in treatment does not guarantee relapse-free cure, and more research is needed to determine how β-lactams can contribute to the treatment of tuberculosis.
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